

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,705	07/03/2003	Shinichi Oba	13528	5061
75	90 01/27/2005		EXAMINER	
ORUM & ROTH			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
53 W. JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	3683
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del>/</del> /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
i	Office Action Summary	10/613,705	OBA ET AL.			
W	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the C	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/04	<u>1/2005</u> .				
2a)[_	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 21-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,21 and 24-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2,3,22 and 23 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage ed.			
2)	pt(s)  Due of References Cited (PTO-892)  Due of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Due No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,705 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's response filed 11/4/2004 has been received and considered. Claims
 and 21-26 are pending. Claims 4-20 have been canceled.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 26 the low and high speed operation ranges lack antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/613,705

Art Unit: 3683

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1,21,24-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura in view of Costa and Japanese publication JP2001214269 (JP '269).

Regarding claims 1 and 21 Kawamura discloses a damper for the front fork of a motorcycle comprising a slide pipe 4 within a tube 3, and upper and lower guide bushes 5-7 and the oil seal at 11.

Kawamura lacks a discussion of using PTFE coated bushings and providing an amorphous hard carbon film layer on the outer surface of the slide pipe.

However PTFE type bushings (coated or otherwise) in these applications are notoriously well known in the art. See Costa col 4 line 63 bushing 128.

Also, it is known to provide the sliding tubes in motorcycle front forks with antifriction elements such as the multiple slide bushings and quite possibly the collar at 8. Other elements are often used in this area, as is known in the prior art.

The reference to JP '269 teaches such an amorphous hard carbon film for good wear resistance and lubricity in sliding parts. Such an idea is also well known in the art.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have modified the device of Kawamura, in accordance with the collective teachings of Costa and JP '269, to provide the device with better wear resistance.

Regarding claims 24-26 these limitations would have been obvious dependent upon the well known engineering tradeoff between desired resistance to wear, and absorber operating characteristics, but also longevity of the protective layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,705 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2,3,22,23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's should carefully review all of the references of the prior art of record. Please note the publication to Wada, which has not yet matured into a patent.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,705

Art Unit: 3683

Page 5

Cps 1/23/05